From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To

LLOYD WISE, McNEIGHT & LAWRENCE c/o Commonwealth House 1-19 New Oxford Street London WC1A 1LW ROYAUME-UNI

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 October 2005 (13.10.2005)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference MP100397-WO		IMPORTANT NOTICE		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383	International filing d 25 March 20	ate (day/month/year) 004 (25.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 March 2003 (25.03.2003)	
Applicant NEUTEC PHARMA PLC et al				

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Nora Lindner WA

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 65

m/100397W

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MP100397-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383	International filing date (day/month/year) 25 March 2004 (25.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 March 2003 (25.03.2003)]			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC 7 C12N 9/02					
Applicant NEUTEC PHARMA PLC					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this	cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	nce to the written opinion eport on patentability (Cha	of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference apter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ems:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of o applicability	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inventi	on			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement und applicability; citations a	der Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	3			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the in	sternational application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on	the international application			
4.	 The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). 					
	Date of issuance of this report 01 October 2005 (01.10.2005)					
	The International Bure 34, chemin des Cole 1211 Geneva 20, Sw	ombettes	Authorized officer Nora Lindner			
	mile No. +41 22 740 14 35		Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65			
Form I	PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)					

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the 'INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					REC'D DE AUG 2004	ŀ	
То:				PC	WIPO F	CT	
					. •		
	see form PCT/ISA/220			INTERNATION	TEN OPINI NAL SEAR PCT Rule 4	ION OF THE CHING AUTHORIT 3 <i>bi</i> s.1)	Υ
	****			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	licant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHER ACTION			
see	form PCT/ISA/2	20		See paragraph 2 below	w		
	mational application T/GB2004/00138		International filing date (a 25.03.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (6 25.03.2003	day/month/year)	
Inter	national Patent Clas	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
C12	2N9/02						
	licant						
NE	UTEC PHARMA	PLC	•				
							_
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:			
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op					i
	Box No. II	Priority	iiiiOii				
	Box No. III	•	nent of opinion with reas	ard to novelty inventive	a stan and ind	and in the second second	
	☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with rega☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			are to nevery, investiga	e step and mo	ustrial applicability	
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis applicability; citations and explanations			:.1(a)(i) with regard to r	novelty, invent	ive step or industrial	
	Box No. VI	Certain docume		() and grade state			
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			İ
2.	FURTHER ACTI						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority						
		date of mailing o	ve, considered to be a v r together, where approp of Form PCT/ISA/220 or				-
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.							
Name	and mailing addres	s of the ISA		Authorized Off			\exists
				Authorized Officer		, per feterage	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kalsner, I

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8708



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

	Вс	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	Wi the	ith re e Ian	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	Wi ne	th recess	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. 1	type	e of material:
		×	a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b . 1	form	nat of material:
		\boxtimes	in written format
		\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. 1	time	of filing/furnishing:
		×	contained in the international application as filed.
		\boxtimes	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional spies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppopriate, were furnished.
4.	Ad	ditio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

_			
_	BO	x No. II	Priority
1.	Ø	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		Ø	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consec	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		nas bet	ninion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international attended above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	litional o	bservations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,				
Ø	claims Nos. 17, with respect to i	ndus	strial applicability		
bec	ause:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawing unclear that no meaningful opin	ngs (i ion c	indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):		
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 17				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
. 🖂	See separate sheet for further	detai	ils		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9, 11-25

No: Claims 10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-9, 19

No: Claims 11-18, 20-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16, 18-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Ad Section III: Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 17 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. In this respect the following should be noted:

For the assessment of this claim on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Ad Section V: Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

The present application relates to a *Clostridium difficile* lactate dehydrogenase. The enzyme was characterised and cloned from a *C. difficile* genomic library. Antibodies reacting with the enzyme were found in the serum of patients suffering from *C. difficile* infection. Use of the enzyme as well as an antibody specifically directed to it in medical and diagnostic applications is foreseen.

2) Novelty

- 2.1) Claim 10 which is directed to an antibody specific against a *C. difficile* lactate dehydrogenase does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT. From the application, p. 25, lines 2-3, it can be taken that a commercially available antibody reacted with the *C. difficile* enzyme of the application. Hence the antibody as defined in claim 10 cannot be considered novel over this commercial antibody.
- 2.2) Claims directed to the enzyme itself and to the nucleic acid molecule encoding the enzyme, vectors, host cells, etc. (claims 1-9) are considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33(2)(3) PCT as the specific enzyme was not disclosed in nor

derivable in an obvious manner from the prior art.

2.3) Novelty can also be acknowledged for the claims directed to medicaments, diagnostic methods and methods of manufacture of a medicament (claims 11-25).

3) Inventive step

3.1) Inventive step, however, cannot be acknowledged for claims 11-18 and 20-25 for the following reasons:

These claims are based on the assumption that the newly discovered protein, which is recognised by antibodies present in the sera of patients suffering from *C. difficile* infection, may be involved in *C. difficile* pathogenicity. Applicants, however, provide no examples or evidence that the combination of an antibiotic and a specific lactate dehydrogenase antibody (which has not even been disclosed) shows any beneficial effects in the treatment of *C. difficile* infection as compared to traditional antibiotics.

Demonstrated function of a newly identified protein, however, is a prerequisite to the final assessment of inventive step of claims 11-17 and 20-25.

3.2) Claims 18, 20 and 21 do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT for the following reasons:

Claim 18 is directed to a diagnostic method for detecting the presence in a sample of C. difficile lactate dehydrogenase using an antibody or an antibody binding fragment specific against said enzyme. As antibodies specific for the claimed enzyme are known in the art (see par. 2.1) using such antibodies in a diagnostic method is not considered to involve an inventive step.